DALLAS

QUESTION #1 WHAT IS THE ROLE/PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE EDUCATION OF OUR CHILDREN?

		Green = Business Leaders	Red = Educators	Blue = Parents
NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QU	IESTION	
Not Provided	Business Leaders	Access and resources ar	e available and applied	equitably.
	Business Leaders	Assessments - demonstr	ration of knowledge: st	udent, district, school, state, educators, community.
	Business Leaders	Create a culture of acco	untability from the both	tom to the top.
	Business Leaders	High performance schoo	ol district is an economi	c development driver for that community.
	Business Leaders	Role of accountability - o	community, parents, ta	x payers = prepared workforce
		Student (End Game) - m	aking the progress nee	ded to finish their educational journey ready to fill their role in the
	Business Leaders	workforce and commun	ity, both academics and	d life skills.
		Using data to be results	oriented: let's incentivi	ze performance, this serves to keep good people in the
	Business Leaders	profession, takes the pu	nitive factors out of it.	
	Educators	Accountability - ensures	all charters/districts ar	e providing quality education
	Educators	Accurate snapshot of whether the second seco	here student is AND ho	w much they've grown
	Educators	Assess the success of cu	rriculum	
		Assessment OF learning	- find out what they kn	ow. Assessment FOR learning - what do we change? Assessment
	Educators	AS learning - how do we	put it together?	
	Educators	Closing gaps		
	Educators	Different levels or purpo	ose - summative, forma	tive
	Educators	Drive instruction		
	Educators	Equitable opportunities		
	Educators	Eye of beholder - taxpay	vers, underserved, polic	y makers, setting policy agenda
	Educators	Feedback - but not end		
	Educators	Find the gaps - inform a	ction to fix those gaps	
	Educators	Frequent		
	Educators	Help provide info that is	useful to teachers and	students to provide learning.
	Educators	Help state make policy l	evel decisions/judgeme	ents about effectiveness of system (is the problem curricular?

QUESTION #1 WHAT IS THE ROLE/PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE EDUCATION OF OUR CHILDREN?

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Educators	Helps students manage their own learning - ownership
	Educators	Holds educators to a professional standard.
	Educators	Identify what subpopulations might need additional funding/resources
	Educators	Improve what we do and measure what our students (skills and concepts) know
	Educators	Level playing field
	Educators	Meets federal mandates
	Educators	Monitoring progress
	Educators	Provide info for the parent to better understand strengths and areas of opportunities.
	Educators	Provides global comparison
	Educators	Provides parents/stakeholders with growth measures
	Educators	Responsibility to stakeholders - ensure funds are distributed well
		instruction/needs of district, look for solutions; Campus - looking at trends in data: across grade levels,
		supporting individual; Teacher - guide instruction-focused on student; Student - feedback to become self
	Educators	directed learners, student self analysis/progress
	Educators	To consider special needs populations.
	Educators	To create a consistent system with transferable information.
	Educators	To drive curriculum.
	Educators	To ensure every child receives a quality/equitable education.
	Educators	To inform instruction.
	Parents	Determine if students are learning what they're being taught (i.e. reading, writing, math at grade level)
	Parents	For parents/community to be able to measure and compare with other years and other districts.
	Parents	Formative assessments: to identify learning gaps and drive instruction.
	Parents	Identify the gaps and developing a plan to address those gaps
	Parents	Measure growth
	Parents	Money/profit testing Cos
	Parents	Standardize education (one size fits all)
	Parents	Summative assessments: (periodic) reflect demonstration of mastery.

QUESTION #1 WHAT IS THE ROLE/PURPOSE OF ASSESSMENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE EDUCATION OF OUR CHILDREN?

Green = Business Leaders Red = Educators Blue = Parents

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Parents	To evaluate the effectiveness of teaching.
	Parents	To evaluate where students are in their education.
	Parents	To measure the value from tax dollars invested in education.
	Parents	To validate the process of education

DALLAS

QUESTION #2 WHAT ARE THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF OUR CURRENT SYSTEMS OF ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY?

		Green = Business Leaders	Red = Educators	Blue = Parents
NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QU	JESTION	
		Strengths - Data - availa	ble and a great tool for	moving student growth and resource allocation - if used
Not Provided	Business Leaders	appropriately and inten	tionally	
	Business Leaders	Strengths - highlights su	ccesses and best praction	ces so they can be shared
	Business Leaders	Strengths - highlights th	e achievement gap but	does it help close it?
	Business Leaders	Strengths - multiple don	nains	
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - accountal	bility is a political proces	S
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - because v	ve begin to measure aft	er 2nd grade, it incentivizes schools to place their lower
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - missing go	ood growth measureme	nts
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - multiple o	domains, but still too foo	cused on STAAR factor
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - no nation	al comparison	
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - people qu	estion the efficacy of th	ne STAAR tests
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - Punitive -	measures the lowest co	ommon denominator, not clear or understandable, data is not
	Business Leaders	Weaknesses - the rating	systems are not transp	arent or easily understandable
	Educators	Strengths - assessments	are not only means to	measure student success
	Educators	Strengths - cannot hide	by aggregating all data	
	Educators	Strengths - clear framev	vork of what needs to b	e taught
	Educators	Strengths - common me	asure for all	
	Educators	Strengths - comparison	groups (thoughtful to di	fferences)
	Educators	Strengths - data-driven		
	Educators	Strengths - districts can'	t hide low performing s	tudents
	Educators	Strengths - focus is not j	just on passing, but goin	g beyond
	Educators	Strengths - focus on pos	st-secondary readiness	
	Educators	Strengths - focus on pro	gress and gaps	
	Educators	Strengths - forced conve	ersations a/b equity and	access

NUMBER OF VOTES GROU	JP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
Educa	ators S	Strengths - Index 2 and 3 and 4 show growth of all subpopulations
Educa	ators .	Strengths - inviting dialogues regarding accountability
Educa	ators .	Strengths - made public
Educa	ators .	Strengths - measures growth for every child
Educa	ators S	Strengths - provides a high-level snapshot
Educa	ators S	Strengths - reading and math can show growth
Educa	ators .	Strengths - teachers have the info to improve instruction for students
Educa	ators .	Strengths - testing later
Educa	ators S	Strengths - tool to learn from assessments are aligned to standards
Educa	ators S	Strengths - used to identify areas teachers can improve
Educa	ators .	Strengths - value added step in right direction
Educa	ators .	Strengths - vertical alignment
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - artificial mark
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - doesn't truly measure learning
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - eliminates authentic instruction
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - feedback is not timely
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - final recommended might be a challenge
	١	Weaknesses - inconsistency in passing standards and when subjects are assessed (which grades i.e. science 5th
Educa	ators 1	then 8th)
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - information does not come back in time or format that is helpful for teacher
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - judges on scores of students
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - lack of cross-curricular
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - lack of educator/community input
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - lack of value-added data
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - learning a little bit of a lot of things
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - limits flexibility
Educa	ators	Weaknesses - limits formative assessment benchmark

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Educators	Weaknesses - lower grades don't have same access to tech for testing
	Educators	Weaknesses - narrows content that is covered
	Educators	Weaknesses - negative effect on learner efficiency
	Educators	Weaknesses - no performance based component
	Educators	Weaknesses - non-transparency
	Educators	Weaknesses - norm referenced test disguised as criterion-referenced test
	Educators	Weaknesses - not authentic
	Educators	Weaknesses - not enough time for reflective thinking
	Educators	Weaknesses - number of tests
	Educators	Weaknesses - only thinking "inside the box"
	Educators	Weaknesses - passing scores too low
	Educators	Weaknesses - policies don't address systemic inequities
	Educators	Weaknesses - politically driven
	Educators	Weaknesses - politically motivated
	Educators	Weaknesses - results don't match other measures (AP, SAT, ACT)
		Weaknesses - sanctions have caused schools to teach to test and have taken options (art, music, etc.) from
	Educators	students
	Educators	Weaknesses - standards are too complex
	Educators	Weaknesses - standards not prioritized
	Educators	Weaknesses - system is losing credibility because no one is doing well
	Educators	Weaknesses - test anxiety
	Educators	Weaknesses - tests segment
	Educators	Weaknesses - timing of tests
	Educators	Weaknesses - too broad - not deep
	Educators	Weaknesses - too many standards
	Educators	Weaknesses - too narrow
	Educators	Weaknesses - transparency to parent/community

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Educators	Weaknesses - unintended consequences: opting out (parents, unions), developing false positives
	Educators	Weaknesses - used punitively
	Parents	Strengths - "lots of money in test provider's pockets"
	Parents	Strengths - allows for teacher evaluations without administrator participation
	Parents	Strengths - based on an optimistic philosophy every child can learn
		Strengths - Data! Common language for comparison; identifies underserved populations; offers more
	Parents	transparency
	Parents	Strengths - helps with resource allocation
	Parents	Strengths - provides standardization across the state for stakeholders
	Parents	Weaknesses - 50% considered passing
	Parents	Weaknesses - branding schools and students with "A-F"
	Parents	Weaknesses - delayed results
	Parents	Weaknesses - designed to make passing impossible
	Parents	Weaknesses - developmentally inappropriate
	Parents	Weaknesses - doesn't accommodate different teaching styles
	Parents	Weaknesses - emotional stress, physical illness (stomach, headaches)
	Parents	Weaknesses - empty threats won't be promoted if fail
	Parents	Weaknesses - has created a culture of anxiety and a culture of test prep
	Parents	Weaknesses - high stakes nature of system penalizes sub-pops
	Parents	Weaknesses - impassioned educators, pay for performance
	Parents	Weaknesses - instructional time lost due to test prep
	Parents	Weaknesses - kids don't get help they need
	Parents	Weaknesses - lack of resources material
	Parents	Weaknesses - no continuity, tests are ever-changing
	Parents	Weaknesses - no support for parents
	Parents	Weaknesses - no true accountability
	Parents	Weaknesses - punished if fail, set up to fail

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Parents	Weaknesses - slow results (return)
	Parents	Weaknesses - someone is profiting
	Parents	Weaknesses - special needs children not sufficiently accommodated
	Parents	Weaknesses - teaching "approximation"
	Parents	Weaknesses - teaching test prep without teaching or reaching full knowledge
	Parents	Weaknesses - TEKS are not developmentally appropriate
	Parents	Weaknesses - test and punish system
	Parents	Weaknesses - testing is outcome-focused vs. process-focused
	Parents	Weaknesses - the negative impact on students/teachers/parents (physically, emotionally, mentally)
	Parents	Weaknesses - these tests are politically influenced
	Parents	Weaknesses - too much pressure (on kids, parents, teachers) and too much emphasis - one test, one day
	Parents	Weaknesses - unrealistic goals/objectives
	Parents	Weaknesses - when the test is over - zero curriculum
	Parents	Weaknesses - whoever controls test controls the curriculum
	Parents	Weaknesses - who's writing this stuff? English teachers should NOT write Math
	Parents	Weaknesses - widens the achievement

DALLAS

QUESTION #3 WHAT FACTORS WOULD YOU LIKE THE COMMISSION TO CONSIDER AS THEY DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS TO SHAPE OUR FUTURE ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY?

		Green = Business Leaders	Red = Educators	Blue = Parents
NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QU	JESTION	
		A system that incentiviz	ed academic achieveme	ents: progress and matriculation through the systems (growth),
Not Provided	Business Leaders	incentivize in investing i	in PK-2 (3rd grade readir	ness, Reading - ELL progress)
	Business Leaders	Design cutting edge con	npetency based measure	ements
		Explore opportunities for	or sampling as opposed	mass testing of students - diagnostic tools to help students
	Business Leaders	progress through the sy	stem but are not used for	or high stakes accountability
	Business Leaders	Factors to consider - mu	ultiple assessments - SEL	, life skills, school culture, equity, progress for ELL
	Business Leaders	Incentivizes teacher att	raction and retention	
	Business Leaders	Rating system is transpa	arent, understandable a	nd easily communicated
	Educators	Authentic assessment		
	Educators	Change the mindset that	nt harder test improves l	earning focus should be on instruction
	Educators	Community allowed inp	out to legislature	
	Educators	Community School Mod	del to support those scho	pols in need
	Educators	Connect HB5 to account	tability and assessment	
	Educators	to rollout		
	Educators	Do parents/communitie	es trust the schools and o	districts to educate?
	Educators	Embedded in daily learr	ning	
	Educators	Ensure students have p	ost-secondary options	
	Educators	Ensure test matches de	velopmental levels	
	Educators	Ensure time for content	matches and is realistic	: (i.e. 40% lab time for Bio EOC)
	Educators	Get people information	in a timely fashion	
	Educators	Give a spectrum of resu	Its to students (not just	pass/fail)
	Educators	Global mindfulness		
	Educators		data to make your decis	
	Educators			special education, bilingual
	Educators	Incorporate TELPAS - to	reduce amount of tests	

QUESTION #3 WHAT FACTORS WOULD YOU LIKE THE COMMISSION TO CONSIDER AS THEY DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS TO SHAPE OUR FUTURE ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY?

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Educators	Instructional resources to support technology, textbooks, support staff, funding
	Educators	Integration of all contents, don't ignore Social Studies
	Educators	K-16 vertical alignment - partnerships with college and career
	Educators	Measure growth as well as proficiency - keeps students from losing hope, effort
	Educators	Minimum time - away from instructions - as unobstructive
	Educators	More authentic writing assessment
	Educators	More emphasis on growth (Index 2)
	Educators	Multiple measures
	Educators	Need to test in the way students learn, consider modern culture
	Educators	NO UNFUNDED MANDATES
	Educators	Performance-based assessments, alternative methods equitable
	Educators	Redefine "at-risk"
	Educators	Rigor HAS TO BE grade-appropriate
	Educators	Teacher prep is critical
	Educators	Test limited number of critical standards at deeper levels, not too granular
	Parents	Address the factors that result in negative cultural factors (i.e. stressed out students, parents and teachers)
	Parents	Adjust goals for achievement - more "realistic" (?)
	Parents	Age appropriateness
	Parents	Alignment improvement between curriculum and assessment
	Parents	Bring back fundamentals - reading, writing, math facts, grammar, science, go deeper
	Parents	Building foundation
	Parents	College and career readiness push is having opposite effect
	Parents	Developmentally appropriate - TEKS - too much, too soon
	Parents	Differentiation
	Parents	Eliminate assessments for elementary schools
	Parents	Evaluate if/how the proposed system would improve instruction
	Parents	Grader are strangers

QUESTION #3 WHAT FACTORS WOULD YOU LIKE THE COMMISSION TO CONSIDER AS THEY DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS TO SHAPE OUR FUTURE ASSESSMENTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY?

NUMBER OF VOTES	GROUP'S RESPONSE	RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION
	Parents	Held hostage
	Parents	Implement non-impact assessments (that don't affect matriculation)
	Parents	Implement well-vetted, grade-specific, error-free testing
	Parents	Input from special education experts
	Parents	Migrate the testing from being a weapon to being a resource
	Parents	More input from talented educators in design of new systems
	Parents	More play
	Parents	Safety/awareness - natural disaster, domestic disaster, open gun policy
	Parents	Solicit feedback from teachers - examine flaws
	Parents	Specific demographics (not just numbers) of individual schools and their students
	Parents	Test critical thinking with disambiguity
	Parents	Time intensive - too many hours teaching to test
	Parents	Too long
	Parents	Use assessments as a roadmap
		Use money saved from not buying tests to develop liaison teams to monitor daily/weekly/monthly/semester
	Parents	student/teacher progress
	Parents	Use the metrics to fill in the gaps